



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,314	02/12/2007	Alfred Rieder	RIED3011/EJD	5663
23364 7590 01/06/2009 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, FUNAM				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2855				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,314	RIEDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PUNAM PATEL	2855	

All Participants:

(1) Punam Patel (USPTO).

(2) Felix J. D'Ambrosio (Applicant's Representative).

Status of Application: Amendment submitted 10/08/08

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2008

Time: 3:40pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

Claims discussed:

25 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed cancelling claims 25 and 26 in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection. Examiner pointed out specific sections of the Disclosure which fail to enable the claims. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims. See Response to Arguments section in the Office Action provided herewith.